CHARA 2016: Adaptive Optics and Perspectives on Visible Interferometry



### **Complex closure amplitudes**

### Useful?



















#### Effects of the atmosphere and instruments

 $\mathcal{V}_{12}^{\text{true}} = \left| \mathcal{V}_{12}^{\text{true}} \right| e^{i\Phi_{12}^{\text{true}}}$ 









 $\phi_3$ 

 $\frac{(N-1)(N-2)}{2}$ 

 $\frac{(N-2)}{N}$ 



### Closure phase

 $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{CP}_{123}^{\mathrm{obs}} &= \Phi_{12}^{\mathrm{obs}} + \Phi_{23}^{\mathrm{obs}} + \Phi_{31}^{\mathrm{obs}} \\ &= \Phi_{12}^{\mathrm{true}} + \Phi_{23}^{\mathrm{true}} + \Phi_{31}^{\mathrm{true}} \end{aligned}$ 

Independent Closure Phases

Fraction of phase information recovered

3 Telescopes (CLIMB, PAVO)33%4 Telescopes (VLTI)50%6 Telescopes (MIRC)67%21 Telescopes (PFI)90%







LESIA



 $\mathcal{O}_3$ 

 $T_2$ 



# CHARA

#### Sources of amplitude variations

- Amplitude variations come from:
  - ATMOSPHERE
    - Fast atmosphere changes scintillation, strong in radio negligible in visible/IR
    - Slow atmosphere changes: "transfer function"
      - We use calibrators for  $|\mathcal{V}|^2$
      - Closure amplitudes do not need this calibration
  - TELESCOPES/BEAM TRAIN
    - conventional throughput losses
    - adaptive optics
  - INSTRUMENTS
    - spatial filtering, fiber injection
  - BASELINES
    - polarization
    - Closure amplitudes are still affected by baseline-related decorrelation effects













# CHARA

#### Quirks of closure amplitudes

Loosing zeroflux value → OK: unlike radio, we did not have it anyway
Basic bias emerging from error propagation:

- This is not even taking into account inherent bias from read-noise/Poisson
- Noise definitively not Gaussian-distributed
  - Division !
    - Though this is not unlike calibration with transfer function
  - Bad if denominator visibilities are low
    - Better compute inverse closure amplitude if higher SNR at numerator













# Complex closure amplitude

Analogous to bispectrum T3, one can form a hereby-called T4

$$T4_{1234}^{obs} = \frac{\mathcal{V}_{12}^{obs}\mathcal{V}_{34}^{obs}}{\mathcal{V}_{14}^{obs}\mathcal{V}_{23}^{*obs}} = CA_{1234} e^{i\,QP_{1234}}$$

$$T4_{1234}^{obs} = \frac{|\mathcal{V}_{12}^{true}||\mathcal{V}_{34}^{true}|}{|\mathcal{V}_{14}^{true}||\mathcal{V}_{23}^{true}|} \frac{e^{i\left(\Phi_{12}^{true}+\Phi_{2}-\Phi_{1}\right)}e^{i\left(\Phi_{34}^{true}+\Phi_{4}-\Phi_{3}\right)}}{e^{i\left(\Phi_{14}^{true}+\Phi_{4}-\Phi_{1}\right)}e^{-i\left(\Phi_{23}^{true}+\Phi_{3}-\Phi_{2}\right)}}$$

$$= T4_{1234}^{true}$$
"Quad Closure Phase"  $QP_{1234} = \Phi_{12}^{true} + \Phi_{23}^{true} + \Phi_{34}^{true} + \Phi_{41}^{true}$ 



# Quad closure phases

- Quad phases are part of the kernel phase
  - free observables
  - they are only *partially* redundant with closure phase: they have different noise statistics
    - worse SNR, being made of 4 phases instead of 3
  - number of independent quad phases is the same as the number of independent closure phases
- Like closure phases, they measure assymetric flux
- Quad phases may be more independent of flux variations than closure phases
  - Provided closure amplitudes work











CHARA 2016: Adaptive Optics and Perspectives on Visible Interferometry



#### MIRC pipeline mod for T4: modulus (closure amp)



servatoire

GeorgiaStateUniversit

#### MIRC pipeline mod for T4: phase (quad phase)



### Meanwhile in the closure phase world... higher SNR

















### Work in progress

- Ground libraries: julia and C code for handling T4 (OIFITSlib)
- Image reconstruction using T4<sup>40</sup>
- In progress, simulations of T4 noise to improve debiasing from noise terms, similar to work by Gordon and Buscher (2012).
- Covariance matrix with closures phases
- First image from closure quantities only from good SNF data (but calibrated cphases)













